arXiv:1302.0175v3 [quant-ph] 15 Apr 2013

CRITERIA OF POSITIVITY FOR LINEAR MAPS CONSTRUCTED FROM PERMUTATION PAIRS

HAILI ZHAO, JINCHUAN HOU

Abstract. In this paper, we show that a D-type map $\Phi_D : M_n \to M_n$ with $D = (n-2)I_n + P_{\pi_1} + P_{\pi_2}$ induced by a pair $\{\pi_1, \pi_2\}$ of permutations of $(1, 2, \ldots, n)$ is positive if $\{\pi_1, \pi_2\}$ has property (C). The property (C) is characterized for $\{\pi_1, \pi_2\}$, and an easy criterion is given for the case that $\pi_1 = \pi^p$ and $\pi_2 = \pi^q$, where π is the permutation defined by $\pi(i) = i + 1 \mod n$ and $1 \le p < q \le n$.

1. Introduction

Denote by $M_{m,n}$ the set of all $m \times n$ complex matrices, and write $M_{n,n} = M_n$ and M_n^+ the set of all positive semi-definite matrices in M_n. A linear map $L : M_n \to M_n$ is positive if $L(M_n^+) \subseteq M_n^+$. The study of positive maps have been the central theme for many pure and applied topics. For example, see [3, 6, 9, 10, 11]. In particular, the study is pertinent in quantum information science research; see [1, 2, 4, 7, 8, 12, 13]. So, the study of positive maps is significant.

Suppose $\Phi_D : M_n \to M_n$ is a linear map of the form

$$(a_{ij}) \longmapsto \operatorname{diag}(f_1, f_2, ..., f_n) - (a_{ij}) \tag{1.1}$$

with $(f_1, f_2, ..., f_n) = (a_{11}, a_{22}, ..., a_{nn})D$ for an $n \times n$ nonnegative matrix $D = (d_{ij})$ (i.e., $d_{ij} \ge 0$ for all i, j). The map Φ_D of the form Eq.(1.1) defined by a nonnegative matrix D is called a D-type map. The question of when a D-type map is positive was studied intensively by many authors and applied in quantum information theory to detect entangled states and construct entanglement witnesses (ref., for instance, [9, 14] and the references therein).

A very interesting class of D-type maps is the class of maps constructed from permutations.

Assume that π is a permutation of $(1, 2, \ldots, n)$. Recall that the permutation matrix $P_\pi = (p_{ij})$ of π is a $n \times n$ matrix determined by

$$p_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \ i = \pi(j) \ (\text{mod } n), \\ 0 & \text{if } \ i \ne \pi(j) \ (\text{mod } n). \end{cases}$$

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 15A86; 47B49; 47N50.

Key words and phrases. matrix algebras, positive linear maps, permutations, inequalities.

This work is partially supported by Natural Science Foundation of China (11171249, 11271217).

Recall also that a subset $\{i_1, \ldots, i_l\} \subseteq \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ is an l-cycle of the permutation π if $\pi(i_j) = i_{j+1}$ for $j = 1, \ldots, l-1$ and $\pi(i_l) = i_1$. Note that every permutation π of $(1, \ldots, n)$ has a disjoint cycle decomposition $\pi = (\pi_1)(\pi_2)\cdots(\pi_r)$, that is, there exists a set $\{F_s\}_{s=1}^r$ of disjoint cycles of π with $\cup_{s=1}^r F_s = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ such that $\pi_s = \pi|_{F_s}$ and $\pi(i) = \pi_s(i)$ whenever $i \in F_s$.

The positivity of D-type maps generated by one permutation were discussed in [9] recently. Let π be a permutation of $(1, 2, \ldots, n)$ with disjoint cycle decomposition $(\pi_1)\cdots(\pi_r)$ such that the maximum length of π_hs is equal to $l > 1$ and $P_\pi = (\delta_{i\pi(j)})$ is the permutation matrix associated with π. For $t \geq 0$, let $\Phi_{t,\pi} : M_n \to M_n$ be the D-type map of the form in Eq.(1.1) with $D = (n-t)I_n + tP_\pi$. It is shown in [9] that $\Phi_{t,\pi}$ is positive if and only if $0 \leq t \leq \frac{n}{l}$. Particularly, $\Phi_\pi = \Phi_{1,\pi}$ is always positive.

Motivated by the above result, let us consider the D-type maps constructed from several permutations. Generally speaking, $\Phi_{D_{\pi_1,\ldots,\pi_k}}$ is not positive if

$$D_{\pi_1,\ldots,\pi_k} = (n-k)I_n + P_{\pi_1} + P_{\pi_2} + \cdots + P_{\pi_k} \tag{1.2}$$

with $1 < k \leq n-1$. For example, take π defined by $\pi(i) = i + 1 \bmod n$ and let $D = (n-2)I_n + 2P_\pi,$; then Φ_D is not positive by the result in [9] mentioned above. However there do exist D-type positive linear maps of the form $\Phi_{D_{\pi_1,\ldots,\pi_k}}$ with $k > 1$. For the permutation π defined by $\pi(i) = i+1 \bmod n$, and for any $1 \leq k \leq n-1$, it is known that $\Phi_D : M_n \to M_n$ is positive, where $D = (n-k)I_n + P_\pi + P_{\pi^2} + \cdots + P_{\pi^k}$ (ref. [15]).

So it is an natural and interesting topic to study the D-type linear maps Φ_D with $D = D_{\pi_1,\ldots,\pi_k}$ of the form Eq.(1.2) and establish positivity criteria for them.

The purpose of this paper is to start the discussion of this topic. We introduce a concept of property (C) for a pair of permutations (Definition 3.2), and show that, if $\{\pi_1, \pi_2\}$ has the property (C), then the D-type map $\Phi_{D_{\pi_1,\pi_2}}$ is positive (Theorem 3.3 and Theorem 3.4). To do this, we develop some inequalities (Lemma 2.1, Lemma 2.2), which are interesting themselves. Furthermore, we give a necessary and sufficient condition for two permutations to have property (C) (Proposition 3.5). This results then applied to give some criteria of positivity of D-type maps induced by pair of permutations and to construct some new positive D-type maps, especially from pair of permutations $\{\pi^p, \pi^q\}$, where π is the cyclic permutation defined by $\pi(i) = i + 1 \bmod n$. Some examples are also presented to illustrate how to use the criteria.

2. Preliminary inequalities

In this section, we first establish two cyclic like inequalities, which are needed to establish the criteria for a D-type linear maps induced from a pair of permutations to be positive.

Lemma 2.1. *Let s, M be positive numbers and $f(u_1, u_2, ..., u_m)$ be a function in m-variable defined by*

$$f(u_1, u_2, ..., u_m) = \frac{1}{s+u_1} + \frac{1}{s+u_2} + ... + \frac{1}{s+u_m}$$

on the region $u_i > 0$ with $u_1u_2...u_m = M^m$, $i = 1, 2, ..., m$. Then we have

(1) *f has extremum values $\frac{rs^{\frac{m}{2r-m}}+(m-r)M^{\frac{m}{2r-m}}}{s(s^{\frac{m}{2r-m}}+M^{\frac{m}{2r-m}})}$ with $\frac{m}{2} < r \leq m$ at the points that r of u_is are $(\frac{M^m}{s^{2m-2r}})^{\frac{1}{2r-m}}$ and others are $(\frac{s^{2r}}{M^m})^{\frac{1}{2r-m}}$;*

(2) *f may also achieve the extremum $\frac{m}{s+M}$ when m is even, at points $\frac{m}{2}$ of u_is are u and others are $\frac{s^2}{u}$, in this case we must have $s = M$;*

(3) $\sup f(u_1, u_2, ..., u_m) = \max\{\frac{m-1}{s}, \frac{m}{s+M}\}$.

Proof. The case $m = 1$ is obvious. For the case that $m = 2$, we have $u_2 = \frac{M^2}{u_1}$ and $f(u_1, u_2) = \frac{1}{s+u_1} + \frac{1}{s+\frac{M^2}{u_1}} = \frac{1}{s+u_1} + \frac{u_1}{su_1+M^2} = g(u_1)$. Letting $g'(u_1) = \frac{M^2}{(su_1+M^2)^2} - \frac{1}{(s+u_1)^2} = 0$, we get $(M^2 - s^2)(u_1^2 - M^2) = 0$. If $M \neq s$, we must have $u_1 = M$ and g has an extremum at $u_1 = M$ with $g(M) = \frac{2}{s+M}$; if $s = M$, then $g(u_1) = \frac{1}{s+u_1} + \frac{u_1}{s(s+u_1)} = \frac{1}{s}$. Also note that $\lim_{u_1\to 0} g(u_1) = \frac{1}{s}$. So, $\max f(u_1, u_2) \leq \max\{\frac{1}{s}, \frac{2}{s+M}\}$.

Assume that $m \geq 3$. f approximates its supremum at the singular boundary of its domain or at some of its extremum values. It is obvious that if $(u_{1j}, u_{2j}, \ldots, u_{mj})$ converges to the boundary of the domain $\{\Pi_{i=1}^m u_i = M^m\}$, then some u_i tend to 0 and some tend to ∞. Say k of them tend to 0 and $m - k - r$ of them tend to ∞. Thus there are r nonnegative numbers t_h so that $\overline{\lim}_{j\to\infty} f(u_{1j}, u_{2j}, \ldots, u_{mj}) = \frac{k}{s} + \sum_{h=1}^r \frac{1}{s+t_h} \leq \frac{k+r}{s} \leq \frac{m-1}{s}$.

Next let us consider the extremum values of f. Let $\varphi(u_1, u_2, ..., u_m) = u_1u_2...u_m - M^m$, and

$$\begin{aligned} L(u_1, u_2, ..., u_m, \lambda) = & \ f(u_1, u_2, ..., u_m) + \lambda\varphi(u_1, u_2, ..., u_m) \\ = & \ \textstyle\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{s+u_i} + \lambda(u_1u_2...u_m - M^m). \end{aligned}$$

By the method of Lagrange multipliers, we have the system

$$L'_{u_i} = \frac{-1}{(s+u_i)^2} + \lambda u_1u_2...u_{i-1}u_{i+1}...u_m = \frac{-1}{(s+u_i)^2} + \frac{\lambda M^m}{u_i} = 0, \tag{2.1}$$

$i = 1, 2\ldots, m$. Solving this system gives

$$\lambda M^m = \frac{u_i}{(s+u_i)^2} \tag{2.2}$$

for $i = 1, 2, ..., m$. Thus, for any i, j with $i \neq j$, $\frac{u_i}{(s+u_i)^2} = \lambda M^m = \frac{u_j}{(s+u_j)^2}$ which yields

$$u_i[s + u_j]^2 = u_j[s + u_i]^2.$$

It follows that

$$s^2u_i + 2u_iu_js + u_iu_j{}^2 = s^2u_j + 2u_iu_js + u_ju_i^2,$$

$$s^2(u_i - u_j) + u_iu_j(u_j - u_i) = 0,$$

$$(u_i - u_j)[s^2 - u_iu_j] = 0.$$

Hence we get

$$u_i = u_j \quad \text{or} \quad u_iu_j = s^2. \tag{2.3}$$

Eq.(2.3) implies that, there exists a positive integer $\frac{m}{2} \leq r \leq m$ such that r of $u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_m$ are the same, denoted by u, and other $m-r$ of them are the same, denoted by v, with $uv = s^2$. Note that

$$u^{(2r-m)}s^{2(m-r)} = u^rv^{m-r} = u_1u_2\cdots u_m = M^m. \tag{2.4}$$

If $2r = m$ (in this case m is even), then we get $s^m = M^m$ and hence $s = M$. Consequently, f has a possible extremum value

$$\frac{m}{2(s+u)} + \frac{m}{2(s+\frac{s^2}{u})} = \frac{m}{2(s+u)} + \frac{mu}{2s(s+u)} = \frac{m}{2s} = \frac{m}{s+M}$$

at each point $(u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_m)$ satisfying that $\frac{m}{2}$ of u_is are u for some $u > 0$ and other $\frac{m}{2}$ of them are $\frac{s^2}{u}$.

If $m < 2r \leq 2m$, we get by Eq.(2.4) that

$$u = \left(\frac{M^m}{s^{2(m-r)}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2r-m}} \quad \text{and} \quad v = \left(\frac{s^{2r}}{M^m}\right)^{\frac{1}{2r-m}}, \tag{2.5}$$

and hence f has an extremum value

$$\begin{aligned} \tfrac{r}{s+u} + \tfrac{m-r}{s+v} = &\ \frac{r}{s+\left(\frac{M^m}{s^{2(m-r)}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2r-m}}} + \frac{m-r}{s+\left(\frac{s^{2r}}{M^m}\right)^{\frac{1}{2r-m}}} \\ = &\ \frac{rs^{\frac{2m-2r}{2r-m}} + \frac{m-r}{s}M^{\frac{m}{2r-m}}}{s^{\frac{m}{2r-m}} + M^{\frac{m}{2r-m}}} = \frac{rs^{\frac{m}{2r-m}} + (m-r)M^{\frac{m}{2r-m}}}{s(s^{\frac{m}{2r-m}} + M^{\frac{m}{2r-m}})} \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

at those points $(u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_m)$ that r of u_is equal $\left(\frac{M^m}{s^{2(m-r)}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2r-m}}$ and other $m-r$ of u_is equal $\left(\frac{s^{2r}}{M^m}\right)^{\frac{1}{2r-m}}$.

Note that $1 < \frac{m}{2} \leq r \leq m$ and

$$\frac{m-1}{s} - \frac{rs^{\frac{m}{2r-m}} + (m-r)M^{\frac{m}{2r-m}}}{s(s^{\frac{m}{2r-m}} + M^{\frac{m}{2r-m}})} = \frac{(m-1-r)s^{\frac{m}{2r-m}} + (r-1)M^{\frac{m}{2r-m}}}{s(s^{\frac{m}{2r-m}} + M^{\frac{m}{2r-m}})}.$$

So, if $r \leq m-1$, then we always have $\frac{m-1}{s} \geq \frac{rs^{\frac{m}{2r-m}}+(m-r)M^{\frac{m}{2r-m}}}{s(s^{\frac{m}{2r-m}}+M^{\frac{m}{2r-m}})}$. If $r = m$, then $\frac{rs^{\frac{m}{2r-m}}+(m-r)M^{\frac{m}{2r-m}}}{s(s^{\frac{m}{2r-m}}+M^{\frac{m}{2r-m}})} = \frac{m}{s+M}$. These, together with the cases that m is even and $r = \frac{m}{2}$ entails that $\sup f(u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_m) \leq \max\{\frac{m-1}{s}, \frac{m}{s+M}\}$, completeing the proof. □

The following lemma is crucial for our purpose. Though we only need the special case of $k = 2$ in this paper, we present the inequality for any $k \geq 1$ because it may be useful to discussing D-type maps induced by k permutations.

Lemma 2.2. *Let s be a positive number, n, k be positive integers with $s > k$. Then for any nk positive real numbers $\{x_{hi}, h = 1, 2, ..., k; i = 1, 2, ..., n,\}$ satisfying $x_{h1}x_{h2}...x_{hn} = 1$ for each h with $1 \leq h \leq k$, we have*

$$\begin{array}{rcl} f(x_{11}, \ldots, x_{1n}, x_{21}, \ldots, x_{k1}, \ldots, x_{kn}) & = & \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{s-k+x_{1i}+x_{2i}+\ldots+x_{ki}} \\ & \leq & \max\{\frac{n-1}{s-k}, \frac{n}{s}\}. \end{array} \tag{2.7}$$

Moreover, the extremum values of f are

$$\begin{array}{ll} \delta_r = \frac{r(s-k)^{\frac{n}{2r-n}}+(n-r)k^{\frac{n}{2r-n}}}{(s-k)((s-k)^{\frac{n}{2r-n}}+k^{\frac{n}{2r-n}})}, & [\frac{n}{2}]+1 \leq r < n; \\ \delta_{\frac{n}{2}} = \frac{n}{s} & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \end{array} \tag{2.8}$$

where $[t]$ stands for the integer part of real number t.

Proof. The question is reduced to find the supremum of the kn-variable function

$$f(x_{11}, ..., x_{1n}, x_{21}, ..., x_{2n}, ..., x_{k1}, ..., x_{kn}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{s-k+x_{1i}+x_{2i}+...+x_{ki}}$$

on the region $x_{hi} > 0$ with $x_{h1}x_{h2}...x_{hn} = 1$, $h = 1, 2, \ldots, k$. Considering the behavior of f near the boundary of its domain, it is obvious that the upper bound of f near the boundary is $\frac{n-1}{s-k}$.

To find the extremum values of f, let

$$\begin{array}{rl} & L(x_{11}, ...x_{1n}, x_{21}, ..., x_{2n}, ..., x_{k1}, ..., x_{kn}, \lambda_1, ...\lambda_k) \\ = & f(x_{11}, ...x_{1n}, x_{21}, ..., x_{2n}, ..., x_{k1}, ..., x_{kn}) + \sum_{h=1}^{k} \lambda_h \varphi_h, \end{array}$$

where $\varphi_h = x_{h1}x_{h2}...x_{hn} - 1,\ h = 1, 2, ...k$. By the method of Lagrange multipliers, we have the system

$$\begin{cases} L'_{x_{11}} = \frac{-1}{[(s-k)+x_{11}+x_{21}+...+x_{k1}]^2} + \frac{\lambda_1}{x_{11}} = 0, \\ \vdots \\ L'_{x_{k1}} = \frac{-1}{[(s-k)+x_{11}+x_{21}+...+x_{k1}]^2} + \frac{\lambda_k}{x_{k1}} = 0, \\ \\ L'_{x_{12}} = \frac{-1}{[(s-k)+x_{12}+x_{22}+...+x_{k2}]^2} + \frac{\lambda_1}{x_{12}} = 0, \\ \vdots \\ L'_{x_{k2}} = \frac{-1}{[(s-k)+x_{12}+x_{22}+...+x_{k2}]^2} + \frac{\lambda_k}{x_{k2}} = 0, \\ \vdots \\ L'_{x_{1n}} = \frac{-1}{[(s-k)+x_{1n}+x_{2n}+...+x_{kn}]^2} + \frac{\lambda_1}{x_{1n}} = 0, \\ \vdots \\ L'_{x_{kn}} = \frac{-1}{[(s-k)+x_{1n}+x_{2n}+...+x_{kn}]^2} + \frac{\lambda_k}{x_{kn}} = 0. \end{cases} \tag{2.7}$$

Solving this system, one obtains that

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\lambda_1}{x_{11}} = \frac{\lambda_2}{x_{21}} = \ldots = \frac{\lambda_k}{x_{k1}}, \\ \frac{\lambda_1}{x_{12}} = \frac{\lambda_2}{x_{22}} = \ldots = \frac{\lambda_k}{x_{k2}}, \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\lambda_1}{x_{1n}} = \frac{\lambda_2}{x_{2n}} = \ldots = \frac{\lambda_k}{x_{kn}}, \end{cases} \tag{2.9}$$

which implies that

$$\frac{{\lambda_1}^n}{x_{11}x_{12}\ldots x_{1n}} = \frac{{\lambda_2}^n}{x_{21}x_{22}\ldots x_{2n}} = \ldots = \frac{{\lambda_k}^n}{x_{k1}x_{k2}\ldots x_{kn}}. \tag{2.10}$$

Now since $x_{h1}x_{h2}\ldots x_{hn} = 1$, for each $h = 1, 2, ..., k$, we get

$${\lambda_1}^n = {\lambda_2}^n = \ldots = {\lambda_k}^n. \tag{2.11}$$

Furthermore, by Eq.(2.8) we see that

$$\lambda_h = \frac{x_{h1}}{[(s-k) + (x_{11} + x_{21} + \ldots + x_{k1})]^2} = \ldots = \frac{x_{hn}}{[(s-k) + (x_{1n} + x_{2n} + \ldots + x_{kn})]^2} > 0$$

for each $h = 1, 2, ..., k$. Therefore, we must have

$$\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \ldots = \lambda_k > 0,$$

which forces, by the Eq.(2.9), that

$$\begin{cases} x_{11}=x_{21}=\ldots=x_{k1}, \\ x_{12}=x_{22}=\ldots=x_{k2}, \\ \vdots \\ x_{1n}=x_{2n}=\ldots=x_{kn}. \end{cases} \tag{2.11}$$

Let $v_i=x_{1i}$, $i=1,2,...,n$. Then, the question reduces to find the supremum of the function in n-variable

$$g(v_1,v_2,...,v_n)=\frac{1}{s-k+kv_1}+\frac{1}{s-k+kv_2}+...+\frac{1}{s-k+kv_n}$$

on the region $v_i>0$ and $v_1v_2\cdots v_n=1$. Applying Lemma 2.1 with $m=n$, s replaced by $s-k$, $M=k$ and $u_i=kv_i$, $i=1,2,\ldots n$, we obtain that

$$\sup g(v_1,v_2,...,v_n)=\max\{\frac{n-1}{s-k},\frac{n}{s-k+k}\}=\max\{\frac{n-1}{s-k},\frac{n}{s}\}.$$

Hence $\sup f=\max\{\frac{n-1}{s-k},\frac{n}{s}\}$. Moreover, the extremum values of f are $\{\delta_r : n\leq 2r\leq 2n\}$, where

$$\delta_n=\frac{n}{s},\ \delta_r=\frac{r(s-k)^{\frac{n}{2r-n}}+(n-r)k^{\frac{n}{2r-n}}}{(s-k)((s-k)^{\frac{n}{2r-n}}+k^{\frac{n}{2r-n}})}\quad\text{for } [\frac{n}{2}]+1\leq r\leq n-1,$$

and

$$\delta_{\frac{n}{2}}=\frac{n}{s}\quad\text{if } n \text{ is even.}$$

It is easily checked by Lemma 2.1 that f achieves the extremum δ_n at $x_{11}=x_{21}=\ldots=x_{k,n}=1$; f achieves δ_r with $\frac{n}{2}<r\leq n-1$ at those points that r of v_is equal $(\frac{k}{s-k})^{\frac{2n-2r}{2r-n}}$ and other $n-r$ of v_is equal $(\frac{s-k}{k})^{\frac{2r}{2r-n}}$; and, if n is even, f achieves the extremum $\delta_{\frac{n}{2}}$ at those points that $\frac{n}{2}$ of v_is are the same, denoted by v, and other $\frac{n}{2}$ v_is are equal to $\frac{(s-k)^2}{v}$, in this case we must have $k=\frac{n}{2}=r$. □

3. Criteria of positivity of D-type maps induced by two permutations

In [9], the authors established a criterion of positivity for D-type maps constructed from one permutation, and proved that $\Phi_D: M_n\to M_n$ is positive if $D=(n-1)I_n+P_\pi$, where π is any permutation of $\{1,2,...,n\}$. For those constructed from more than one permutations, it is known that, the D-type map Φ_D with $D=(n-k)I_n+P_{\pi_0}+P_{\pi_0{}^2}+...+P_{\pi_0{}^k}$ is positive, where $\pi_0(i)=i-1 \mod n$ [2] or $\pi_0(i)=i+1 \mod n$ [15]. In this section we give a criterion of positivity of D-type linear maps constructed from pairs of general permutations.

The following lemma comes from [9].

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose* $\Phi_D : M_n \to M_n$ *is a* D*-type linear map of the form*

$$(a_{ij}) \longmapsto \text{diag}(f_1, f_2, ..., f_n) - (a_{ij}) \tag{3.1}$$

with $(f_1, f_2, ..., f_n) = (a_{11}, a_{22}, ..., a_{nn})D$ *for an* $n \times n$ *nonnegative matrix* $D = (d_{ij})$ *(i.e.,* $d_{ij} \geq 0$ *for all* i, j*). Then,* Φ_D *is positive if and only if, for any unit vector* $u = (u_1, u_2, ..., u_n)^t \in \mathbb{C}^n$*, we have* $f_j(u) = \sum_{i=1}^n d_{ij}|u_i|^2 \neq 0$ *whenever* $u_j \neq 0$*, and that* $\sum_{u_j \neq 0} \frac{|u_j|^2}{f_j(u)} \leq 1$.

Before stating our main result, we introduce a conception of property (C) for a pair of permutations.

Definition 3.2. *A pair* $\{\pi_1, \pi_2\}$ *of permutations of* $(1, 2, \ldots, n)$ *is said to have property (C) if, for any given* $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ *and for any* $j \neq i$*, there exists* $\pi_{h_j}(j) \in \{\pi_1(j), \pi_2(j)\}$ *with* $h_j \in \{1, 2\}$ *such that* $\{\pi_{h_j}(j) : j = 1, 2, \ldots, i-1, i+1, \ldots, n\} = \{1, 2, \ldots, i-1, i+1, \ldots, n\}$.

Let π be the permutation of $(1, 2, \ldots, n)$ defined by $\pi(i) = i + 1 \mod n$. Then it is easily checked that, for any $1 \leq p \leq n-1$, $\{\pi^p, \pi^{p+1}\}$ has Property (C). There are other kinds of examples. For instance, $\{\pi, \pi^4\}$ has the property (C) if $n = 5$ and 7, but does not have the property (C) if $n = 4$ and 6.

The following is our main general result which gives a criterion of positivity for D-type maps induced by pair of general permutations.

Theorem 3.3. *For any two permutations* π_1 *and* π_2 *of* $(1, 2, ..., n)$ *with* $n \geq 3$*, let* $\Phi_D : M_n \to M_n$ *be the* D*-type map of the form*

$$(a_{ij}) \longmapsto \text{diag}(f_1, f_2, ..., f_n) - (a_{ij}),$$

where $(f_1, f_2, ..., f_n) = (a_{11}, a_{22}, ..., a_{nn})D$ *and* $D = (n-2)I_n + P_{\pi_1} + P_{\pi_2}$ *with* P_{π_h} *the permutation matrix of* π_h*,* $h = 1, 2$*. If* $\{\pi_1, \pi_2\}$ *has the property (C), then* Φ_D *is positive.*

Proof. For any unit vector $x = (x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)^t \in \mathbb{C}^n$, we have

$$X = xx^* = (x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)^t(\bar{x_1}, \bar{x_2}, ...\bar{x_n}) = \begin{pmatrix} |x_1|^2 & x_1\bar{x_2} & \cdots & x_1\bar{x_n} \\ x_2\bar{x_1} & |x_1|^2 & \cdots & x_2\bar{x_n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_n\bar{x_1} & x_n\bar{x_2} & \ldots & |x_n|^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

As $D = (d_{ij}) = (n-k)I_n + P_{\pi_1} + P_{\pi_2}$, we get

$$f_j(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n d_{ij}|x_i|^2 = (n-2)|x_j|^2 + |x_{\pi_1(j)}|^2 + |x_{\pi_2(j)}|^2.$$

Then by Lemma 3.1, Φ_D is positive if the following inequality

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{|x_i|^2}{f_i(x)} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{|x_i|^2}{(n-2)|x_i|^2 + |x_{\pi_1(i)}|^2 + |x_{\pi_2(i)}|^2} \leq 1 \tag{3.2}$$

holds for any unit vector $x=(x_1,x_2,\dots,x_n)^t\in\mathbb{C}^{(n)}$.

Case 1. $n\geq 4$.

Let us consider first the case that $n\geq 4$. Assume that all $x_i\neq 0$ and let $u_{hi}=\frac{|x_{\pi_h(i)}|^2}{|x_i|^2}$, $i=1,2,...,n$; $h=1,2$. Then, $u_{h1}u_{h2}\cdots u_{hn}=1$ for each $h=1,2$, and thus, by Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & f(x_1,x_2,\dots,x_n)=\textstyle\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{|x_i|^2}{(n-2)|x_i|^2+|x_{\pi_1(i)}|^2+|x_{\pi_2(i)}|^2} \\ = \;& g(u_{11},u_{12},\dots,u_{1n},u_{21},u_{22},\dots,u_{2n})=\textstyle\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{n-2+u_{1i}+u_{2i}} \\ \leq \;& \max\{\tfrac{n-1}{n-2},1\}. \end{aligned}$$

and, as a restriction of g, the maximum of all extremum values of f is bounded by the maximum of all extremum values of g. Thus, by Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\max\{\text{extreme values of } f\}\leq\max\{1,\delta_r : r=[\frac{n}{2}]+1,[\frac{n}{2}]+1,\dots,n-1\},$$

where

$$\delta_r=\frac{r(n-2)^{\frac{n}{2r-n}}+(n-r)2^{\frac{n}{2r-n}}}{(n-2)((n-2)^{\frac{n}{2r-n}}+2^{\frac{n}{2r-n}})}$$

and $[t]$ is the integer part of t. If $[\frac{n}{2}]+1\leq r\leq n-2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_r = \;& \frac{r(n-2)^{\frac{n}{2r-n}}+(n-r)2^{\frac{n}{2r-n}}}{(n-2)((n-2)^{\frac{n}{2r-n}}+2^{\frac{n}{2r-n}})} \\ = \;& \frac{(2r-n)(n-2)^{\frac{n}{2r-n}}}{(n-2)((n-2)^{\frac{n}{2r-n}}+2^{\frac{n}{2r-n}})}+\frac{n-r}{n-2}\leq\frac{r}{n-2}\leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

We claim that we also have $\delta_{n-1}\leq 1$. In fact,

$$\delta_{n-1}=\frac{(n-1)(n-2)^{\frac{n}{2r-n}}+2^{\frac{n}{2r-n}}}{(n-2)((n-2)^{\frac{n}{2r-n}}+2^{\frac{n}{2r-n}})}=\frac{(n-2)^{\frac{n}{2r-n}}}{(n-2)^{\frac{n}{2r-n}}+2^{\frac{n}{2r-n}}}+\frac{1}{n-2}\leq 1$$

if and only if

$$\psi(n)=\frac{n-2}{(n-3)^{\frac{n-2}{n}}}\leq 2. \tag{3.3}$$

Let $\varphi(t)=\ln(\frac{t-2}{(t-3)^{\frac{t-2}{t}}})=\ln(t-2)-\frac{t-2}{t}\ln(t-3)$ for $t\geq 4$. Then

$$\varphi'(t)=\frac{t^2-4t-(2t^2-10t+12)\ln(t-3)}{t^2(t-2)(t-3)}.$$

If $t\geq 9$, then

$$t^2-4t-(2t^2-10t+12)\ln(t-3)<-(t^2-10t+12)\ln(t-3)<0$$

and hence $\varphi(t)$ is decreasing on $[9,\infty)$. It follows that $\psi(n)=\frac{n-2}{(n-3)^{\frac{n-2}{n}}}$ is decreasing for $n\geq 9$. Since

$$\psi(4)=2,\ \psi(5)\approx 1.9793,\ \psi(6)\approx 1.9230,\ \psi(7)\approx 1.8575,\ \psi(8)\approx 1.7944,\ \psi(9)\approx 1.7373,$$

we see that the inequality in Eq.(3.3) is true for all $n\geq 4$ and hence $\delta_{n-1}\leq 1$ holds for all $n\geq 4$, as desired.

Therefore, for any $n \geq 4$, 1 is the maximum extremum value of $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ achieving at $f(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}, \dots, \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}})$.

In the following we show that the supremum of f on the boundary of its domain $|x_1|^2 + |x_2|^2 + \cdots + |x_n|^2 = 1$ is not greater than 1, either. Assume that $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)^t$ lies in the boundary of the region $|x_1|^2 + |x_2|^2 + \cdots + |x_n|^2 = 1$; then at least one of x_i is zero. With no loss of generality, say $x_i \neq 0$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots r$, but $x_{r+1} = \dots = x_n = 0$, where $1 < r < n$. Then we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{|x_i|^2}{(n-2)|x_i|^2 + |x_{\pi_1(i)}|^2 + |x_{\pi_2(i)}|^2} = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{1}{(n-2) + \frac{|x_{\pi_1(i)}|^2}{|x_i|^2} + \frac{|x_{\pi_2(i)}|^2}{|x_i|^2}}.$$

If $r = n-1$, by the assumption that $\{\pi_1, \pi_2\}$ has the property (C), one can choose $\pi_{h_i}(i) \in \{\pi_1(i), \pi_2(i)\}$ so that $\{\pi_{h_i}(i)\}_{i=1}^{n-1} = \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$. So

$$\Pi_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{|x_{\pi_{h_i}(i)}|^2}{|x_i|^2} = 1,$$

and thus, by Lemma 2.2 with $k = 1$ and $s = n-1$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{|x_i|^2}{(n-2)|x_i|^2 + |x_{\pi_1(i)}|^2 + |x_{\pi_2(i)}|^2} = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{(n-2) + \frac{|x_{\pi_1(i)}|^2}{|x_i|^2} + \frac{|x_{\pi_2(i)}|^2}{|x_i|^2}} \\ \leq\ &\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{((n-1)-1) + \frac{|x_{\pi_{h_i}(i)}|^2}{|x_i|^2}} \leq \frac{n-1}{n-1} = 1. \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

If $r \leq n-2$, then we always have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{|x_i|^2}{(n-2)|x_i|^2 + |x_{\pi_1(i)}|^2 + |x_{\pi_2(i)}|^2} = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{1}{(n-2) + \frac{|x_{\pi_1(i)}|^2}{|x_i|^2} + \frac{|x_{\pi_2(i)}|^2}{|x_i|^2}} \leq \frac{r}{n-2} \leq 1. \tag{3.5}$$

The inequalities in Eqs.(3.4) and (3.5) ensure us that f is upper bounded by 1 on the boundary of its domain, and hence Eq.(3.2) is true for any unit vectors. Applying Lemma 3.1, Φ_D is a positive linear map for the case $n \geq 4$.

Case 2. $n = 3$.

Finally, assume that $n = 3$. If one of π_1 and π_2 is the identity, then the question reduce to the case of D-type maps generalized by one permutation, and hence the corresponding D-type map is positive by [9]. So we may assume that both π_1 and π_2 are not the identity. It is easily checked that we have four possible cases so that $\{\pi_1, \pi_2\}$ has the property (C):

$$\{(2,3,1),(3,1,2)\}; \quad \{(3,2,1),(2,1,3)\}; \quad \{(3,2,1),(1,3,2)\}; \quad \{(1,3,2),(2,1,3)\}.$$

The case $\{(2,3,1),(3,1,2)\}$ is implied by the result in [15]. By Lemma 3.1, the last three cases induce to prove the following inequality

$$\frac{1}{1+u+v} + \frac{u}{2u+1} + \frac{v}{2v+1} \leq 1$$

for any $u, v > 0$. This is true because

$$\begin{aligned} & (2u+1)(2v+1) + u(1+u+v)(2v+1) + v(1+u+v)(2u+1) \\ \leq\ & (1+u+v)(2u+1)(2v+1) \end{aligned}$$

by $2uv \leq u^2 + v^2$. So the theorem is also true for the case $n = 3$, finishing the proof. □

It is clear that the less n is the easier to check the property (C) of $\{\pi_1, \pi_2\}$. This motivates us to decompose the permutations into small ones.

For a nonempty subset F of $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$, if F is a common invariant subset of π_ss, i.e., if $\pi_h(F) = F$ holds for all $h = 1, 2, \ldots k$, we say that F is an invariant subsets of $\{\pi_1, \pi_2, \ldots, \pi_k\}$. Obvious, there exist disjoint minimal invariant subsets $F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_l$ of $\{\pi_1, \pi_2, \ldots, \pi_k\}$ such that $\sum_{s=1}^{l} \# F_s = n$ (i.e., $\cup_{s=1}^{l} F_s = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$). We say $\{F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_l\}$ is the complete set of minimal invariant subsets of $\{\pi_1, \ldots, \pi_k\}$. Thus one can reduce the set $\{\pi_1, \pi_2, \ldots, \pi_k\}$ of permutations into l sets $\{\pi_{1s}, \pi_{2s}, \ldots, \pi_{ks}\}_{s=1}^{l}$ of small ones, where $\pi_{hs} = \pi_h|_{F_s}$. It is easily checked that $\{\pi_1, \pi_2\}$ has the property (C) if and only if each pair $\{\pi_{1s}, \pi_{2s}\}$ of the sub-permutations has the property (C).

The following is a version of Theorem 3.3.

Theorem 3.4. *Let $\{F_s\}_{s=1}^{l}$ be the complete set of minimal invariant subsets of a pair $\{\pi_1, \pi_2\}$ of permutations of $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$. Let $\pi_{is} = \pi_i|_{F_s}$. If $\{\pi_{1s}, \pi_{2s}\}$ has Property (C) for every $s = 1, 2, \ldots, l$, then the D-type map Φ_D defined as in Theorem 3.3 is positive.*

Theorem 3.3 and Theorem 3.4 give a possible way to construct new positive maps but it is not very clear how to check whether or not a given pair of permutations has the property (C). To get an insight of this, in the following, we give a characterization of a pair of permutations to have property (C), which will be used to construct new positive maps in the next section. Note that $\{\pi_1, \pi_2\}$ has property (C) if and only if each pair $\{\pi_{1s}, \pi_{2s}\}$ on the common invariant subset F_s has property (C). So, to check whether or not $\{\pi_1, \pi_2\}$ has property (C), we may assume that π_1 and π_2 has no common proper invariant subsets. It is clear that, if $n = 1$, $\{\pi_1, \pi_2\}$ always has property (C); if $n = 2$, $\{\pi_1, \pi_2\}$ has property (C) if and only if one of π_1, π_2 is the identity and other is $(1, 2) \to (2, 1)$.

Proposition 3.5. *Let π_1, π_2 be two permutations of $(1, 2, \ldots, n)$ with $n \geq 2$ having no proper common invariant subsets. Then $\{\pi_1, \pi_2\}$ has the property (C) if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:*

(1) *For any distinguished i, j, $\pi_1(i) \neq \pi_2(i)$ and $\{\pi_1(i), \pi_2(i)\} \neq \{\pi_1(j), \pi_2(j)\}$;*

(2) *For any i and j_1, j_2 with $\pi_1(j_2) = \pi_2(j_1) = i$, if distinct $j_3, \ldots, j_m \notin \{i, j_1, j_2\}$ satisfy that $\pi_2(j_3) = \pi_1(j_1)$, $\pi_2(j_4) = \pi_1(j_3), \ldots, \pi_2(j_m) = \pi_1(j_{m-1})$, then $\pi_1(j_m) \neq \pi_2(j_2)$.*

Proof. Assume that $\{\pi_1, \pi_2\}$ satisfy the conditions (1)-(2). For any i, we have to show that we can choose one element in $\pi_{h_j}(j) \in \{\pi_1(j), \pi_2(j)\}$ for each $j \neq i$ so that $\{\pi_{h_j}(j), j \neq i\} = \{1, 2, \ldots, i-1, i+1, \ldots, n\}$.

Case (i). $i \in \{\pi_1(i), \pi_2(i)\}$, say $\pi_1(i) = i$, then obviously the choice $\{\pi_1(j) : j \neq i\} = \{1, 2, \ldots, i-1, i+1, \ldots, n\}$.

Case (ii). $i \notin \{\pi_1(i), \pi_2(i)\}$.

Let j_1, j_2 such that $\pi_1(j_2) = i = \pi_2(j_1)$. By the condition (1), we must gave $\pi_1(j_1) \neq \pi_2(j_2)$. In fact, if $\pi_1(j_1) = \pi_2(j_2)$, then $\{\pi_1(j_1), \pi_2(j_1)\} = \{\pi_1(j_2), \pi_2(j_2)\}$, which contradicts to the condition (1). If $\{\pi_1(j_1), \pi_2(j_2)\} = \{\pi_1(i), \pi_2(i)\}$, then $\{\pi_1(j_1), \pi_2(j_2)\} \cup \{\pi_1(j) : j \notin \{i, j_1, j_2\}\} = \{1, \ldots, i-1, i+1, \ldots, n\}$ and we finished the proof.

Assume that $\{\pi_1(j_1), \pi_2(j_2)\} \neq \{\pi_1(i), \pi_2(i)\}$.

If $\pi_1(j_1) = \pi_2(i)$ or $\pi_2(j_2) = \pi_1(i)$, saying $\pi_2(j_2) = \pi_1(i)$, then we have $\{\pi_2(j_2)\} \cup \{\pi_1(j) : j \notin \{i, j_2\}\} = \{\pi_1(j) : j \neq j_2\} = \{1, 2, \ldots, i-1, i+1, \ldots, n\}$, and then the proof is finished.

Thus we may in the sequel assume that $\{\pi_1(j_1), \pi_2(j_2)\} \cap \{\pi_1(i), \pi_2(i)\} = \emptyset$. Take j_3 so that $\pi_2(j_3) = \pi_1(j_1)$. As $\pi_1(j_1) \neq \pi_2(j_2)$, we have $j_3 \neq j_2$. Also $\pi_2(j_3) = \pi_1(j_1) \neq \pi_2(i)$ and $\pi_2(j_3) = \pi_1(j_1) \neq \pi_2(j_1) = i$ ensures that $j_3 \notin \{i, j_1\}$. So have $j_3 \notin \{i, j_1, j_2\}$ and by the condition (3), we have $\pi_1(j_3) \neq \pi_2(j_2)$. Thus we get a set $\{\pi_1(j_1), \pi_2(j_2), \pi_1(j_3)\}$ of distinct elements. If $\pi_1(j_3) = \pi_2(i)$, then $\{\pi_1(j_1), \pi_1(j_3)\} \cup \{\pi_2(j) : j \notin \{i, j_1, j_3\} = \{\pi_2(j) : j \neq j_1\} = \{1, 2, \ldots, i-1, i+1, \ldots, n\}$ and we finish the proof. Suppose that $\pi_1(j_3) \neq \pi_2(i)$ and take j_4 so that $\pi_2(j_4) = \pi_1(j_3)$. Then $j_4 \neq i$ and $j_4 \neq j_3$ by the previous proof. In fact, because $\pi_2(j_3) = \pi_1(j_1) \neq \pi_1(j_3)$, so $\pi_2(j_3) \neq \pi_1(j_3)$, and $j_4 \neq j_3$. As $\pi_2(j_4) = \pi_1(j_3) \neq \pi_2(j_2)$, thus we have $j_4 \neq j_2$. Also $\pi_2(j_4) = \pi_1(j_3) \neq \pi_1(j_2) = \pi_2(j_1) = i$ implies that $j_4 \neq j_1$. So $j_4 \notin \{i, j_1, j_2, j_3\}$ and by the condition (3), $\pi_1(j_4) \neq \pi_2(j_2)$. Therefore, we have $\pi_1(j_4) \notin \{\pi_1(j_1), \pi_2(j_2), \pi_1(j_3)\}$. Again, if $\pi_1(j_4) = \pi_2(i)$, then $\{\pi_1(j_1), \pi_1(j_3), \pi_1(j_4)\} \cup \{\pi_2(j) : j \notin \{i, j_1, j_3, j_4\} = \{\pi_2(j) : j \neq j_1\} = \{1, 2, \ldots, i-1, i+1, \ldots, n\}$, and the proof is finished. If $\pi_1(j_4) \neq \pi_2(i)$, then, by the condition (3), $\pi_1(j_4) \neq \pi_2(j_2)$ and $\pi_1(j_4) \neq \pi_2(j_1) = i$. It follows that we can take $j_5 \notin \{i, j_1, j_2, j_3, j_4\}$ such that $\pi_2(j_5) = \pi_1(j_4)$, and $\pi_1(j_5) \notin \{\pi_1(j_1), \pi_2(j_2), \pi_1(j_3), \pi_1(j_4)\}$. We continue the above process until getting j_m such that $\pi_2(j_m) = \pi_1(j_{m-1})$ and $\pi_1(j_m) = \pi_2(i)$. Then we get $\{\pi_1(j_1), \pi_1(j_3), \ldots, \pi_1(j_m)\} \cup \{\pi_2(j) : j \notin \{i, j_1, j_3, \ldots, j_m\}\} = \{\pi_2(j) : j \neq j_1\} = \{1, 2, \ldots, i-1, i+1, \ldots, n\}$. Hence, the conditions (1) and (2) imply $\{\pi_1, \pi_2\}$ has Property (C).

By checking the arguments above, one sees that, if any one of the conditions (1) and (3) is broken, then $\{\pi_1, \pi_2\}$ can not have Property (C). Therefore, the conditions are also necessary. $\square$

By the symmetry of π_1 and π_2, the condition (2) in Proposition 3.5 may be replaced by the following

$(2')$ *For any* i *and* j_1, j_2 *with* $\pi_1(j_2) = \pi_2(j_1) = i$, *if distinct* $j_3, \ldots, j_m \notin \{i, j_1, j_2\}$ *satisfy that* $\pi_1(j_3) = \pi_2(j_2)$, $\pi_1(j_4) = \pi_2(j_3), \ldots, \pi_1(j_m) = \pi_2(j_{m-1})$, *then* $\pi_2(j_m) \neq \pi_1(j_1)$.

Corollary 3.6. *Let* π_1, π_2 *be permutations of* $(1, 2, \ldots, n)$ *and let* $D = (n-2)I_n + P_{\pi_1} + P_{\pi_2}$. *Then the* D*-type map* Φ_D *is positive if for any minimal invariant subset* F *of* $\{\pi_1, \pi_2\}$ *with* $\#F \geq 2$, $\{\pi_1|_F, \pi_2|_F\}$ *satisfies the conditions (1) and (2) in Proposition 3.5.*

Proof. The corollary is an immediate consequence of Proposition 3.5 and Theorem 3.4. □

Before going to next section, we give a simple example of how to using the results in this section to construct new positive linear maps.

Example 3.7. The map $\Phi : M_5 \to M_5$ defined by

$$(a_{ij}) \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 3a_{11} + a_{55} & -a_{12} & -a_{13} & -a_{14} & -a_{15} \\ -a_{21} & 2a_{22} + a_{11} + a_{44} & -a_{23} & -a_{24} & -a_{25} \\ -a_{31} & -a_{32} & 3a_{33} + a_{22} & -a_{34} & -a_{35} \\ -a_{41} & -a_{42} & -a_{43} & 2a_{44} + a_{33} + a_{55} & -a_{45} \\ -a_{51} & -a_{52} & -a_{53} & -a_{54} & 2a_{55} + a_{44} \end{pmatrix}$$

is positive. In fact, Φ is a D-type map with $D = 3I_5 + P_{\pi_1} + P_{\pi_2}$, where π_1 and π_2 are permutations of $(1, 2, 3, 4, 5)$ determined respectively by $(2, 3, 4, 5, 1)$ and $(1, 5, 3, 2, 4)$. It is easily checked by Definition 3.2 or Proposition 3.5 that $\{\pi_1, \pi_2\}$ has the property (C). Hence the positivity of Φ follows from Theorem 3.3. We remark here that, this example is different from those treated in the next section.

4. Constructing positive D-type maps from cyclic permutations

The class of positive linear maps may detected by Theorem 3.3 is quite large, which contains many known positive maps of D-type such as that induced by just one permutation in [9] and that in [15] with $k = 2$. Applying the results, especially Theorem 3.3, Proposition 3.5 in the previous section, we construct in this section some new classes of D-type positive maps induced by two powers of the cyclic permutation.

In the sequel, for any integer $n \geq 3$, we denote π the cyclic permutation of $(1, 2 \ldots, n)$ defined by $\pi(i) = i + 1 \bmod n$. Let $1 \leq p < q \leq n$ be two integers. Consider the D-type map induced by π^p, π^q with $D = (n-2)I_n + P_{\pi^p} + P_{\pi^q}$. We shall give an easily handled criterion for $\Phi_{n,p,q} = \Phi_D$ to be positive. Note that $q = n$ implies $\pi^q = \mathrm{id}$ and $D = (n-2)I_n + P_{\pi^p} + P_{\pi^n} = (n-1)I_n + P_{\pi^p}$. So, Φ_D is positive by [9, 14] whenever $q = n$ and it is also clear that $\{\pi^p, \mathrm{id}\}$ has the property (C). Thus we may assume $q < n$ in the following lemma.

Lemma 4.1. *Let* π *be the permutation of* $(1, 2, \ldots, n)$ *defined by* $\pi(i) = i+1$ *(mod* n*). For any* $1 \le p < q < n$, $\{\pi^p, \pi^q\}$ *has the property (C) if and only if one of the following conditions holds.*

(1) $q - p = 1$.

(2) $1 < q-p < n-1$ *and if there are relatively prime positive integers* k, m *with* $m < n$ *and* $k < q-p$ *such that* $m(q-p) = kn$, *then* $p = n - d(q-p)$ *for some integer* $1 \le d \le m-1$.

Proof. If $q - p = 1$, then it is clear that $\{\pi^p, \pi^q\}$ has property (C).

So, to prove the lemma, it suffices to show that, for any p, q with $1 < q-p < n-1$ and $1 \le p < q \le n-1$, $\{\pi^p, \pi^q\}$ does not have property (C) if and only if there exist relatively prime integers k, m with $0 < k < q-p$ and $0 < m < n$ such that $m(q-p) = kn$ and $p \ne n - d(q-p)$ for any integer d with $2 \le d \le m-1$. By Proposition 3.5, it suffices to check the following two claims.

Claim 1. $\{\pi_1 = \pi^p, \pi_2 = \pi^q\}$ does not satisfy the condition (1) of Proposition 3.5 if and only if $2(q-p) = n$ and $p \ne n - (q-p)$.

In fact, $\{\pi_1, \pi_2\}$ does not meet the condition (1) of Proposition 3.5 if and only if

$$i + p \bmod n = \pi_1(i) = \pi_2(j) = j + q \bmod n$$

and

$$i + q \bmod n = \pi_2(i) = \pi_1(j) = j + p \bmod n$$

for some distinct i, j. This happens if and only if $2(q-p) = 0 \bmod n$, and in turn, if and only if $n = 2(q-p)$ as $q \le n$. It is clear that p can not be $n - (q-p)$, because this would imply that $q = n$.

Claim 2. $\{\pi_1 = \pi^p, \pi_2 = \pi^q\}$ does not satisfy the condition (2) of Proposition 3.5 if and only if $m(q-p) = kn$ for some relatively prime positive integers k, m with $1 \le k < q-p$, $2 < m < n$, and $p \ne n - d(q-p)$ for any $1 < d < m-1$.

$\{\pi_1 = \pi^p, \pi_2 = \pi^q\}$ does not satisfy the condition (2) of Proposition 3.5 if and only if for some i, there exist distinct $j_1, \ldots, j_m \notin \{i\}$ such that $\pi_1(j_2) = \pi_2(j_1) = i$, $\pi_2(j_3) = \pi_1(j_1)$, $\pi_2(j_4) = \pi_1(j_3), \ldots, \pi_2(j_m) = \pi_1(j_{m-1})$ and $\pi_1(j_m) = \pi_2(j_2)$, and in turn, if and only if for some i there exist distinct $j_1, \ldots, j_m \notin \{i\}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} j_1+q= &\ j_2+p \bmod n, \\ j_3+q= &\ j_1+p \bmod n, \\ j_4+q= &\ j_3+p \bmod n, \\ &\vdots \\ j_m+q= &\ j_{m-1}+p \bmod n, \\ j_2+q= &\ j_m+p \bmod n. \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

Summing up all equations in Eq.(4.1) we obtain that

$$m(q-p)=0 \mod n.$$

Note that $2 \leq m < n$; thus there exists positive integer $k < q-p$ such that

$$m(q-p)=kn. \tag{4.2}$$

Moreover, $j_h = j_1-(q-p)(h-2) = j_2-(q-p)(h-1) \bmod n$ for $h=3,4,\ldots,m$. Obviously, $j_1, j_2 \notin \{i\}$. While $j_3, \ldots, j_m \notin \{i\}$ implies that $j_h \neq j_1+q = j_2+p \bmod n$ for any $h=3,4,\ldots,m$. It is clear that $j_h = i = j_1+q = j_2+p \bmod n$ for some $j_h = j_1-(q-p)(h-2) = j_2-(q-p)(h-1) \bmod n$ if and only if $j_1+q = j_1-(q-p)(h-2)$ and $j_2+p = j_2-(q-p)(h-1)$, and in turn, if and only if $p = n-(q-p)(h-1) = n-d(q-p)$ for some $2 \leq d \leq m-1$. So, $j_1, \ldots, j_m \notin \{i\}$ implies that $p \neq n-d(q-p)$ for any $2 \leq d < m$. Thus we proved that $\{\pi^p, \pi^q\}$ does not satisfies Condition (2) of Proposition 3.6 implies that $m(q-p)=kn$ for some relatively prime positive integers m, k with $1 \leq k < q-p$, $1 \leq m < n$, and

$$p \neq n-d(q-p) \quad \text{for every } 2 \leq d \leq m-1. \tag{4.3}$$

Conversely, assume that Eq.(4.2) and Eq.(4.3) hold. Take any j_1, j_2 so that $j_2-j_1 = q-p \bmod n$. Then $j_2 = j_1+(q-p) \bmod n$. If $m=2$, we must have $2(q-p)=n$ and hence $j_2+(q-p) = j_1+2(q-p) = j_1 \bmod n$, which gives $j_2+q = j_1+p \bmod n$. If $m \geq 3$, for $3 \leq h \leq m$, let

$$j_h = j_1-(q-p)(h-2) = j_2-(q-p)(h-1) \mod n. \tag{4.4}$$

Then $j_2 = j_m+(q-p)(m-1) \bmod n$ and hence $j_2+q-p = j_m \bmod n$ as $(q-p)m = kn$. This ensures that $j_2+q = j_m+p \bmod n$. We claim that $j_h \neq j_s$ whenever $t \neq s$. In fact, it is clear that $j_1 \neq j_2$; for $3 \leq h, s \leq m$,

$$j_h = j_s \Leftrightarrow j_1-(q-p)(h-2) = j_1-(q-p)(s-2) \Leftrightarrow h=s.$$

Moreover, the Eqs.(4.3) and (4.4) imply that $j_1, \ldots, j_m \notin \{i\}$. Hence Eq.(4.2) and Eq.(4.3) imply that $\{\pi^p, \pi^q\}$ does not satisfies the condition (2) of Proposition 3.5.

Now, by Proposition 3.5, Claims 1-2 ensure that the lemma holds, completing the proof.□

Using Lemma 4.1, the following criterion of positivity of $\Phi_{n,p,q}$ is immediate, which is very easily applied.

Theorem 4.2. *Let* $n \geq 3$ *and* π *be the permutation defined by* $\pi(i) = i + 1 \mod n$. *For any integers* $1 \leq p < q \leq n$, *let* $D = (n-2)I_n + P_{\pi^p} + P_{\pi^q}$. *Then the* D*-type map* $\Phi_{n,p,q} = \Phi_D : M_n \to M_n$ *of the form Eq.(1.1) is positive if one of the following conditions holds.*

(1) $q - p = 1$ *or* $q = n$.

(2) $q < n$, $1 < q - p < n - 1$ *and if there are relatively prime positive integers* k, m *with* $m < n$ *and* $k < q - p$ *such that* $m(q-p) = kn$, *then* $p = n - d(q-p)$ *for some integer* $1 \leq d \leq m - 1$.

We remark that in general the condition $q - p = \frac{kn}{m}$ does not imply that $q - p$ is a factor of n. For example, let $n = 8$, $q - p = 6$; then $m = 3$ and $k = 4$. If $p = 8 - 6 = 2, q = 8$, then $\{\pi^2, \pi^8\}$ has property (C). But, $\{\pi^1, \pi^7\}$ does not posses property (C).

The following corollary is immediate.

Corollary 4.3. *The* D*-type map* $\Phi_{n,p,q} : M_n \to M_n$ *in Theorem 4.2 is positive if any one of the following conditions holds.*

(1) $q - p = 1$ *or* $q = n$.

(2) n *is prime.*

(3) *There are no relatively prime* m, k *with* $1 \leq m < n$ *and* $1 \leq k < q - p$ *so that* $m(q-p) = kn$.

(4) $q - p$ *is prime and is not a factor of* n. *Particularly, if* $q - p = 2$ *and* n *is odd.*

(5) $q - p$ *is a prime factor of* n *and* $p = d(q-p)$ *for some* $1 \leq d \leq \frac{n}{q-p} - 2$.

Proof. The implication (1) $\Rightarrow \Phi_D$ is positive is clear by Theorem 4.2.

If (2) holds, that is, if n is prime, then there are no relative prime positive integers $m < n, k < q - p$ such that $m(q-p) = kn$. Hence, for any $1 \leq p < q \leq n$, $\{\pi^p, \pi^q\}$ has property (C).

(3) entails that $\{\pi^p, \pi^q\}$ meets the condition (2) in Theorem 4.2 and hence $\Phi_{n,p,q}$ is positive.

If (4) holds, that is, if $q - p$ is prime and is not a factor of n, then $n = \frac{m(q-p)}{k}$ implies that $m = kr$ for some integer r and $n = r(q-p)$, which is impossible. So $\{\pi^p, \pi^q\}$ meets the condition (2) of Theorem 4.2 and thus $\Phi_{n,p,q}$ is positive.

The condition (5) implies that $m_1(q-p) = kn$ and the greatest common divisor of m_1 and k is 1 if and only if $m_1 = m$ and $k = 1$ as $q - p$ is prime. As $p = d(q-p) = n - (m-d)(q-p)$, $\{\pi^p, \pi^q\}$ has property (C) by Lemma 4.1. □

Since, in quantum information theory, an N-qbit quantum system corresponds to a complex Hilbert space of dimension 2^N, the case $n = 2^N$ is of special importance.

Corollary 4.4. For $n = 2^N$ with $N \geq 2$ and $1 \leq p < q < n$, the D-type map $\Phi_{2^N,p,q} : M_n \to M_n$ in Theorem 4.2 is positive if one of the following holds:

(1) $q - p$ is odd.

(2) $q - p = 2^b$ with $1 \leq b \leq N - 1$ and $p = d2^b$ for some $1 \leq d \leq 2^{N-b} - 1$.

(3) $q - p = 2^b r$ with r odd and $p = 2^b(2^{N-b} - dr)$ for some $1 \leq d \leq \frac{2^{N-b}-1}{r}$.

In the following we present some simple examples to illustrate how to use the results in this section.

Example 4.5. For $n = 5$ or 7 and any $1 \leq p < q \leq n$, the D-type maps $\Phi_{5,p,q} : M_5 \to M_5$ and $\Phi_{7,p,q} : M_5 \to M_5$ are always positive.

Observe that $\Phi_{5,1,3}$ is of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} & a_{14} & a_{15} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} & a_{24} & a_{25} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} & a_{34} & a_{35} \\ a_{41} & a_{42} & a_{43} & a_{44} & a_{45} \\ a_{51} & a_{52} & a_{53} & a_{54} & a_{55} \end{pmatrix} \mapsto$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2a_{11} + a_{22} + a_{44} & -a_{12} & -a_{13} & -a_{14} & -a_{15} \\ -a_{21} & 2a_{22} + a_{33} + a_{55} & -a_{23} & -a_{24} & -a_{25} \\ -a_{31} & -a_{32} & 2a_{33} + a_{44} + a_{11} & a_{34} & -a_{35} \\ -a_{41} & -a_{42} & -a_{43} & 2a_{44} + a_{55} + a_{22} & -a_{45} \\ -a_{51} & -a_{52} & -a_{53} & a_{54} & 2a_{55} + a_{11} + a_{33} \end{pmatrix},$$

which is positive by Corollary 4.3.

It is also obvious that when $n = 4$, $\Phi_{4,p,q}$ is positive if $q - p \neq 2$; when $n = 6$, $\Phi_{6,p,q}$ is positive if $q - p \notin \{2, 3, 4\}$.

Example 4.6. For $n = 8 = 2^3$, and any $1 \leq p < q \leq 8$, the D-type map $\Phi_{8,p,q} : M_8 \to M_8$ is positive if one of the following is true:

(i) $q - p \in \{1, 3, 5, 7\}$.

(ii) $q - p = 2$, $p \in \{2, 4, 6\}$.

(iii) $q - p = 4$, $p = 4$.

(iv) $q - p = 6$, $p = 2$.

Example 4.7. For $n = 16 = 2^4$, and any $1 \leq p < q \leq 16$, the D-type map $\Phi_{16,p,q} : M_{16} \to M_{16}$ is positive if one of the following is true:

(i) $q - p \in \{1, 3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 13, 15\}$.

(ii) $q-p=2$ and $p\in\{2,4,6,8,10,12,14\}$.

(iii) $q-p=4$ and $p\in\{4,8,12\}$.

(iv) $q-p=6$ and $p\in\{4,10\}$.

(v) $q-p=8$ and $p=8$.

(vi) $q-p=10$ and $p=6$.

(vii) $q-p=12$ and $p=4$.

(viii) $q-p=14$ and $p=2$.

Remark 4.8. The condition that $\{\pi^p,\pi^q\}$ has property (C) in Theorem 4.2 is sufficient for the associated D-type map $\Phi_{n,p,q}$ to be positive. The condition is not necessary, and thus, the condition in Theorem 3.3 is not necessary for Φ_D to be positive. For example, let us consider the case of $n=4$. Then, $\{\pi^p,\pi^q\}$ does not have property (C) if and only if $p=1,q=3$. By Lemma 3.1, the D-type map $\Phi_{4,1,3}=\Phi_D$ with $D=2I_4+P_\pi+P_{\pi^3}$ is positive if and only if

$$f(x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4)=\frac{x_1}{2x_1+x_2+x_4}+\frac{x_2}{2x_2+x_3+x_1}+\frac{x_3}{2x_3+x_4+x_2}+\frac{x_4}{2x_4+x_1+x_3}\le 1$$

holds for all non-negative $x_1,\ldots,x_4\in\mathbb{R}$ with $x_1+\cdots+x_4=1$. By Lemma 2.2, all extremum values of $f(x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4)$ is 1 because $s=M=2$. Consider the supremum of f on the boundary. Assume that only one of x_i is zero, say $x_4=0$; then

$$f(x_1,x_2,x_3,0)=\frac{x_1}{2x_1+x_2}+\frac{x_2}{2x_2+x_3+x_1}+\frac{x_3}{2x_3+x_2}$$

with x_1,x_2,x_3 nonzero. Consider the function

$$g(s,t)=\frac{1}{2+s+t}+\frac{1}{2+\frac{1}{s}}+\frac{1}{2+\frac{1}{t}}=\frac{1}{2+s+t}+\frac{s}{2s+1}+\frac{t}{2t+1},$$

where $s>0$ and $t>0$. As

$$\begin{aligned}&(2s+1)(2t+1)+s(s+t+2)(2t+1)+t(s+t+2)(2s+1)\\ =\;&4s^2t+4st^2+14st+s^2+t^2+4s+4t+1,\end{aligned}$$

$$(2s+1)(2t+1)(s+t+2)=4s^2t+4st^2+12st+2s^2+2t^2+5s+5t+2$$

and $2st<s^2+t^2+s+t+1$, it is easily checked that $g(s,t)=1-\frac{(s-t)^2+s+t+1}{(2s+1)(2t+1)(s+t+2)}<1$. So we still have $f(x_1,x_2,x_3,0)<1$. If there are more than one $x_i=0$, it is clear that $f(x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4)<1$. Therefore, $\sup f(x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4)=1$ on the region $x_1+\cdots+x_4=1$ and Φ_D is positive.

It is then interesting to ask

Question 4.9. What is the necessary and sufficient condition for $\Phi_{n,p,q}=\Phi_D:M_n\to M_n$ with $D=(n-1)I_n+P_{\pi^p}+P_{\pi^q}$ to be positive? Where π is the permutation defined by $\pi(i)=i+1 \bmod n$ and $1\le p<q<n$.

REFERENCES

[1] P. Albert and A. Uhlmanm, A problem relating to positive linear maps on matrix algebras, Rep. Math. Phys. 18 (1980), 163.

[2] R. Augusiak, J. Bae, L. Czekaj, M. Lewenstein, On structural physical approximations and entanglement breaking maps, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 44 (2011) 185308.

[3] A. Chefles, R. Jozsa, and A. Winter, On the existence of physical transformations between sets of quantum states, International J. Quantum Information, 2 (2004), 11-21.

[4] M.-D. Choi, Completely Positive Linear Maps on Complex Matrix, Lin. Alg. Appl. 10 (1975), 285-290.

[5] D. Chruśćińński and A. Kossakowski, Spectral conditions for positive maps, Comm. Math. Phys. 290 (2009) 1051.

[6] Roger A. Horn, Charles R. Johnson, Matrix Analysis, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1985, New York.

[7] J.-C. Hou, A characterization of positive linear maps and criteria for entangled quantum states, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 43 (2010) 385201..

[8] J.-C. Hou, Acharacterization of positive elementary operators, J. Operator Theory, 39 (1998), 43-58.

[9] J.-C. Hou, C.-K.Li, Y.-T.Poon, X.F. Qi, and N.-S. Sze, Criteria of k-positivity of linear maps, ArXiv: 1211.0386v1[quant-ph].

[10] Z. Huang, C.-K. Li, E. Poon, N.-K. Sze, Physical transformation between quantum states, arXiv:1203.5547

[11] K. Kraus, States, Effects, and Operations: Fundamental Notions of Quantun Theory, Lecture Notes in Physics, Vol.190.Spring-Verlag,Berlin, 1983.

[12] C.-K. Li and Y.-T. Poon, Interpolation by Completely Positive Maps, Linear and Multilinear Algebra 59 (2011), 1159-1170.

[13] M. A. Nielsen and I.L. Chuang, Quantum Computation and Quantum Information ,Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000

[14] X.-F. Qi and J.-C. Hou, Positive finite rank elementary operators and characterizing entanglement of states, J.Phys. A: Math.Theor. 44 (2011)215305

[15] S. Yamagami, Cyclic inequalities, Proc. Amer. Math. Sco., 118 (1993), 521-527.

(H. Zhao, J. Hou) FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS, TAIYUAN UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, TAIYUAN 030024, P. R. OF CHINA

E-mail address: `zhaohaili927@yahoo.com.cn; jinchuanhou@yahoo.com.cn`